

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,731	09/08/2003	Emmanuel Huber	60,130-1883;02MRA0333	8906
26096 7	590 02/08/2006		EXAMINER	
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350 BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			PARRIES, DRU M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicant(s)		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/657,731	HUBER ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Dru M. Parries	2836		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF STATES	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Se</u>	eptember 2003.			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) 1-6,8-12,14 and 15 is/are rejected.				
·	Claim(s) <u>7 and 13</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement			
ت (۵	are subject to restriction and st	r ciconon requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>9-8-03</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/657,731 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-6, 9, 11-12, and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ubelein et al. (6,515,377) and Amano (6,557,910). Ubelein teaches a circuit for control of a vehicle's power windows and door locks in lieu of a fault on a bus (Abstract). He teaches a door controller being connected to the bus, the door lock/unlock mechanism, and at least one occupant-operable regulator and the controller being able to detect a fault in a bus (Col. 1, lines 16-30). He teaches that when a fault is detected on a bus that the operation of unlocking the doors can be controlled by the actuation of any one of a number of regulators (i.e. inside door regulator) (Col. 5, lines 50-58). He also teaches the idea of using a multiplexed bus in a system like this (Col. 1, lines 42-44). He also teaches the possible situation where one could actuate the window lift regulator and operate the latch mechanism motor (Col. 5, lines 58-63). Ubelein fails to explicitly teach the lock/unlock mechanism for the doors being a latch mechanism motor. Amano teaches a latch mechanism motor for controlling the lock/unlock of a vehicle door. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to assume that a latch mechanism motor is mechanically locking and unlocking the vehicle doors in Ubelein's invention since it is a known way to control the locks and Ubelein doesn't teach exactly how the locking/unlocking is happening.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,731 Page 3

Art Unit: 2836

3. Claims 2, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ubelein et al. (6,515,377) and Amano (6,557,910) as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, and further in view of Mittermeier et al. (2001/0045775). Ubelein and Amano teach a control circuit for a vehicle. Ubelein also teaches the idea of upon detection of a fault (in emergency situations), trying to maximize the availability of all functions normally provided before the fault (Col. 3, lines 1-12). They both fail to teach the locking of the doors upon actuation of a regulator. Mittermeier teaches an emergency locking system that locks vehicle doors in emergency situations upon actuation of a regulator ([0003] & [0004]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have one of the operations in Ubelein's invention to be locking the latch mechanism motor, so that in the case where occupants need to protect themselves from something outside the vehicle, they can do that also.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 7 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. No prior art of record teaches the combination that upon detection of a fault on a bus *and* detection that a car door is closed, to operate the latch mechanism motor.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,731 Page 4

Art Unit: 2836

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Elmore (3,425,731) teaches that upon closure of a vehicle door, it automatically locks (Abstract).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dru M. Parries whose telephone number is (571) 272-8542. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday from 8:00am to 5:00pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached on 571-272-2800 x 36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DMP

2-1-2006

BRIAN SIRCUS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000